CROFT FARM, STONE ROAD, HILL CHORLTON DAVID JAMES DEVELOPMENTS LIMITED

18/00507/OUT

The Application is for outline planning permission for the demolition of existing buildings, the erection of a replacement farmhouse and 11 bungalows, access, parking and amenity space. Access into the site, but not within it, is for consideration in this application with all other matters (appearance, landscaping, layout and scale) reserved for subsequent approval.

The application site, which measures 0.9 hectares, lies within the open countryside and an Area of Landscape Restoration as indicated on the Local Development Framework Proposals Map.

The 13 week period for the determination of this application expired on 1st October 2018 but the applicant has agreed to extend the statutory period until 1st February 2019.

RECOMMENDATION

Refuse for the following reasons and for any further appropriate reasons following the receipt of the further views of the Highway Authority and the advice of the District Valuer and their consideration:

1. The site lies within the open countryside and the proposed dwellings would not serve an identified local need. As such, the development of this site is contrary to the objective of directing new houses primarily to sustainable brownfield land within the village envelopes of the key rural service centres and would be contrary to Policies SP1 and ASP6 of the Newcastle-under-Lyme and Stoke-on-Trent Core Spatial Strategy 2006-2026, Policy H1 of the Newcastle-under-Lyme Local Plan 2011, and the aims and objectives of the National Planning Policy Framework (2018).

2. The development would involve a high level of the use of the private car by occupiers of the dwellings and their visitors and therefore represents an unsustainable development that is contrary to the guidance of the National Planning Policy Framework (2018).

3. In the absence of a secured planning obligation and having regard to the likely additional pupils arising from a development of this scale and the capacity of existing educational provision in the area, the development fails to make an appropriate contribution towards education provision.

4. In the absence of a secured planning obligation the development fails to make an appropriate contribution towards the provision of affordable housing which is required to provide a balanced and well-functioning housing market.

Reason for Recommendation

The proposal is for residential development in a location where such development is contrary to policies within the approved development plan for the area. As the Council is now able to demonstrate a supply of deliverable housing sites of more than 5 years such policies should not be considered to be out of date as a result of the supply position and given the level of consistency with the National Planning Policy Framework they can be given weight in the determination of the application. Due to the location of the site away from a higher level of services, employment and public transport links, there is likely to be a high level of use of the private car and this is not a sustainable development.

A Speed Survey has recently been carried out and the further comments of the Highway Authority are awaited and will be reported if they become available.

The applicant has submitted financial information to substantiate their claim that a policy compliant scheme would be financially unviable. The draft report of an independent valuer setting out her

appraisal of the development's viability is being considered and a further report will be brought to members on this issue.

<u>Statement as to how the Local Planning Authority has worked in a positive and proactive</u> <u>manner in dealing with the planning application</u>

It is considered that the proposals are unsustainable and do not conform to the core planning principles of the National Planning Policy Framework and it is considered that the applicant is unable to overcome the principal concerns in respect of this development.

<u>Key Issues</u>

Outline planning permission is sought for the demolition of existing buildings, the erection of a replacement farmhouse and 11 bungalows, access, parking and amenity space. Access is for consideration in this application with all other matters (appearance, landscaping, layout and scale) reserved for subsequent approval.

The application site, which measures 0.9 hectares, lies within the open countryside and an Area of Landscape Restoration as indicated on the Local Development Framework Proposals Map.

Although reference is made in representations to the Chapel & Hill Chorlton, Maer & Aston and Whitmore Neighbourhood Plan, it is in its early states of consultation and therefore carries very limited weight.

The main issues for consideration in the determination of this application are:-

- Is this an appropriate location for residential development in terms of current housing policy and guidance on sustainability?
- Would the proposed development have a significant adverse impact on the character and appearance of the area or the wider landscape?
- Would the proposed development have any material adverse impact upon highway safety?
- Is affordable housing provision required and if so how should it be delivered?
- What, if any, planning obligations are necessary to make the development policy compliant and would some lesser or nil contributions be justified given issues of viability?

Is this an appropriate location for residential development in terms of current housing policy and guidance on sustainability?

The application site lies within the Rural Area of the Borough in the open countryside.

Core Spatial Strategy (CSS) Policy SP1 states that new housing will be primarily directed towards sites within Newcastle Town Centre, neighbourhoods with General Renewal Areas and Areas of Major Intervention, and within the identified significant urban centres. It goes on to say that new development will be prioritised in favour of previously developed land where it can support sustainable patterns of development and provides access to services and service centres by foot, public transport and cycling.

CSS Policy ASP6 states that in the Rural Area there will be a maximum of 900 net additional dwellings of high design quality primarily located on sustainable brownfield land within the village envelopes of the key Rural Service Centres, namely Loggerheads, Madeley and the villages of Audley Parish, to meet identified local requirements, in particular, the need for affordable housing.

Furthermore, Policy H1 of the Newcastle Local Plan (NLP) indicates that planning permission for residential development will only be given in certain circumstances – one of which is that the site is within one of the village envelopes.

This site is neither within a village envelope nor would the proposed dwellings serve an identified local need as defined in the CSS. As such its development for residential purposes is not supported by policies of the Development Plan.

Paragraph 11 of the revised NPPF states that Plans and decisions should apply a presumption in favour of sustainable development. For decision-taking this means approving development proposals that accord with an up-to-date development plan without delay; or where there are no relevant development plan policies, or the policies which are most important for determining the application are out-of-date, granting permission unless:

- i. the application of policies in the Framework that protect areas or assets of particular importance provides a clear reason for refusing the development proposed; or
- ii. any adverse impacts of doing so would significantly and demonstrably outweigh the benefits, when assessed against the policies in this Framework taken as a whole.

It is the case that the Council is now able to demonstrate a five year supply of specific deliverable housing sites, with the appropriate buffer, with a supply of 5.45 years as at the 1st April 2018. Given this, it is appropriate to consider the proposal in the context of the policies contained within the approved development plan and as stated above, development for residential purposes on this site is not supported by policies of the Development Plan.

Decisions should be made in accordance with the approved development plan for the area unless material considerations indicate otherwise. The NPPF, a material consideration, indicates that existing policies should not be considered to be out of date simply because they were adopted or made prior to the publication of the Framework but due weight should be given to them, according to their degree of consistency with the Framework (the closer the policies in the plan to the policies in the Framework, the greater the weight that may be given).

The NPPF seeks to promote sustainable development in rural areas and states that housing should be located where it will enhance or maintain the vitality of local communities. In terms of the accessibility of the site, it is some 1000m from the shops and services of Baldwin's Gate. The applicant states that the walking route to Baldwin's Gate is largely on a well-maintained footpath starting off on a very safe single lane road that effectively leads to a dead-end and is only used by the 5 houses that adjoin it.

In dismissing an appeal for two dwellings on land adjacent to Maerfield Gate Farm (Ref. 16/00460/FUL) which is approximately 250m to the west of the current application site, the Inspector noted the distance from the centre of the village and that to get to the village would require at least a 15-20 minute walk along country lanes that have no footway or street lighting along them. The Inspector considered that they would therefore be unattractive and a safety risk to pedestrian use. The Inspector also noted the limitations of the bus service and concluded that the distance of the site from the shops and services of Baldwin's Gate would make it likely that most journeys by occupiers and visitors to the proposed dwellings on a site to the east of Slater's Craft Village approximately 150m to the west of the current site (Ref. 14/00875/OUT), the Inspector made similar comments and concluded that the site was in an unsustainable location. Such a conclusion would equally apply in this case.

The NPPF refers to three objectives of sustainable development – economic, social and environmental. The applicants state in their original submission that the proposed development would bring with it much needed bungalows and a financial contribution towards affordable housing provision in the Borough, benefits to the local economy through household expenditure in the area and exceptional environmentally friendly dwellings. Whilst some of these benefits are acknowledged, importantly there is, at least for the present, no shortfall in housing supply in the Borough.

In conclusion it is appropriate to consider the proposal in the context of the policies contained within the approved development plan and in the absence of material considerations of significant weight, the decision should be one of refusal of the development on the grounds that the principle of the development is unacceptable.

Would the proposed development have a significant adverse impact on the character and appearance of the area or the wider landscape?

CSS Policy CSP1 states that new development should be well designed to respect the character, identity and context of Newcastle and Stoke-on-Trent's unique townscape and landscape and in particular, the built heritage, its historic environment, its rural setting and the settlement pattern created by the hierarchy of centres. It states that new development should protect important and longer distance views of historic landmarks and rural vistas and contribute positively to an area's identity and heritage (both natural and built) in terms of scale, density, layout, use of appropriate vernacular materials for buildings and surfaces and access. This policy is considered to be consistent with the NPPF.

RE5 of the Newcastle-under-Lyme and Stoke-on-Trent Urban Design Guidance SPD (2010) states that new development in the rural area should amongst other things respond to the typical forms of buildings in the village or locality and that new buildings should respond to the materials, details and colours that may be distinctive to a locality.

R12 of that same document states that residential development should be designed to contribute towards improving the character and quality of the area. Proposals will be required to demonstrate the appropriateness of their approach in each case. Development in or on the edge of existing settlements should respond to the established urban or suburban character where this exists already and has a definite value. Where there is no established urban or suburban character, new development should demonstrate that it is creating a new urban character that is appropriate to the area. R13 states that the assessment of an appropriate site density must be design-led and should consider massing, height and bulk as well as density. R14 states that developments must provide an appropriate balance of variety and consistency.

The density of the proposed scheme would be approximately 12 dwellings per hectare. Your Officer's view is that given the location of the site, the density of the proposed scheme is appropriate. Although an indicative layout has been submitted to show how the site may be developed, layout, scale, appearance and internal access arrangements are all matters reserved for subsequent approval, and therefore, it is not considered necessary to comment in detail on or consider the layout submitted.

CSS Policy CSP4 indicates that the location, scale, and nature of all development should avoid and mitigate adverse impacts (on) the area's distinctive natural assets and landscape character. This policy is considered to be consistent with the NPPF which states that the planning system should contribute to and enhance the natural and local environment by protecting and enhancing valued landscapes.

The site is within a Landscape Maintenance Area and Policy N19 of the Local Plan states that within these areas it will be necessary to demonstrate that development will not erode the character or harm the quality of the landscape.

The application is accompanied by a Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment which concludes that the overall impact of the proposed development on the landscape and visual amenity is considered to be not significant. It states that due to the topography and the screening effect of adjacent hedgerows, effects on the landscape will be highly localised to within close proximity of the site. It goes onto state that the inclusion of appropriate mitigation including tree planting will help to reduce the visual impact on the landscape.

The land slopes down from the road by up to 4m and the proposed replacement farmhouse at the entrance to the site would screen much of the development in views from the highway. Existing views of the site from the north are limited due to the presence of mature hedgerows and trees along its boundaries. Given that the proposed dwellings would be bungalows, with appropriate and sensitive additional planting, it is considered that the development would have a limited effect on the wider landscape character.

It is not considered therefore that an objection could be sustained on the grounds of adverse impact on the character and appearance of the area or the wider landscape.

Would the proposed development have any adverse impact upon highway safety?

Paragraph 108 of the NPPF states that safe and suitable access to a site shall be achieved for all users and paragraph 109 states that development should only be prevented or refused on highways grounds if there would be an unacceptable impact on highway safety, or the residual cumulative impacts of development would be severe.

The application is accompanied by a Transport Statement (TS) which includes a traffic speed survey on Stone Road (A51) and information regarding visibility splays. The TS states that appropriate visibility can be achieved in both directions and that the very small amount of additional vehicular movements associated with the development can safely be accommodated onto the highway network. The document concludes that the impact of the proposed development on the highway network cannot be considered severe.

The Highway Authority raises concerns regarding the proposed visibility and has advised that revised drawings, a Stage 1 Road Safety Audit (RSA) and a further Speed Survey are required. At present the recommendation that they have provided is one of refusal, as indicated in the consultations section below. Discussions have been held with the applicant and an RSA has been submitted. A Speed Survey has recently been carried out and the further comments of the Highway Authority are awaited. A further report will be given on this matter.

Is affordable housing provision required and if so how should it be delivered?

CSS Policy CSP6 states that residential development within the rural area, on sites of 5 dwellings or more will be required to contribute towards affordable housing at a rate equivalent to a target of 25% of the total dwellings to be provided. Within the plan area the affordable housing mix will be negotiated on a site by site basis to reflect the nature of development and local needs.

This application proposes 11 dwellings and at 25% provision for affordable housing, 3 affordable dwellings would be required.

Any developer contribution to be sought must be both lawful, having regard to the statutory tests set out in Regulation 122 and 123 of the CIL Regulations, and take into account guidance. It must be:-

- Necessary to make the development acceptable in planning terms
- Directly related to the development, and
- Fairly and reasonably related in scale and kind to the development.

Paragraph 62 of the NPPF states that where they have identified that affordable housing is needed, local planning authorities should set policies for meeting this need on site, unless off-site provision or an appropriate financial contribution in lieu can be robustly justified and the agreed approach contributes to the objective of creating mixed and balanced communities. The Council's Developer Contributions SPD states that whilst affordable housing should be provided on the application site so that it contributes to wards creating a mix of housing, where it can be robustly justified, off site provision or the obtaining of a financial contribution in lieu of on–site provision (of broadly equivalent value) may be accepted. The SPD suggests that one of the circumstances where offsite provision may be appropriate is where the Council considers that "the provision of completed units elsewhere would enable it to apply the contribution more effectively to meet the Borough's housing need".

The Housing Strategy Section was initially not satisfied that the applicants had robustly justified that an off-site provision is appropriate in this case and recommended that contact should be made with Registered Providers to enquire whether the types of units proposed would be taken up by them. The applicant contacted four Registered Providers, two of whom indicated that they would be interested in taking on the units as affordable housing. On the basis of this evidence, the Housing Strategy Section has advised that the affordable housing should be provided on-site rather than as an off-site contribution. Your Officer concurs with this view.

What, if any, planning obligations are necessary to make the development policy compliant and would some lesser or nil contributions be justified given issues of viability?

Any developer contribution to be sought must be both lawful, having regard to the statutory tests set out in Regulation 122 and 123 of the CIL Regulations, and take into account guidance. It must be:-

- Necessary to make the development acceptable in planning terms
- Directly related to the development, and
- Fairly and reasonably related in scale and kind to the development.

The Open Space Strategy which was adopted by the Council on the 22nd March 2017 requires a financial contribution of £5,579 per dwelling towards public open space improvements and maintenance. In this case, the Landscape Development Section requires the contribution to be used for improvements at Whitmore Village Hall. However, Whitmore Village Hall play area is approximately 1700m away from the site along roads with no footways and therefore it could not be argued that the occupiers of these dwellings are likely to place additional pressure on such facilities. On this basis it is not considered that it would be lawful to secure an obligation in this case.

Staffordshire County Council states that both Baldwin's Gate CE (VC) Primary School and Madeley High School are projected to be full for the foreseeable future and therefore a contribution of £55,306 is sought for both schools. The obligation is considered to meet the tests identified in the NPPF and is compliant with Section 122 of the Community Infrastructure Levy Regulations. However, it is also necessary to consider whether the financial contributions comply with Regulation 123 of the CIL Regulations, which came into force on 5th April 2015. Regulation 123 stipulates that a planning obligation may not constitute a reason for granting planning permission if it is in respect of a specific infrastructure project or a type of infrastructure and five or more obligations providing for the funding for that project or type of infrastructure have already been entered into since 6 April 2010. More than 5 obligations have already been entered into since April 2010 in which an education contribution has been secured for Madeley High School, will be utilised towards a specific project to provide additional classrooms and an extension to the dining room. Any subsequent planning obligations will be for a different project or projects than mentioned above. On this basis, it is considered that the contribution complies with CIL Regulation 123.

A Viability Assessment has been submitted with the application which concludes that a policy compliant development would not be viable.

It is acknowledged that in some circumstances an applicant may believe that what is being asked for by the Council will render a development unviable. The Developer Contributions SPD, adopted by the Borough Council in September 2007, has a section on the issue of "viability" and it starts with the point that any developer contributions required will need to comply with the tests set out in the then circular on planning obligations, which include those of fairness and being reasonably related in scale and kind to the proposed development, and reasonable in all other respects. Although the circular has since been superseded the principles continue to apply.

The Council's position is that in such circumstances, for the Council to be persuaded to reduce its requirements, the onus is upon the applicant to justify why and how special circumstances apply. A list of the type of information which an applicant might consider useful to demonstrate why the Council's requirements are too onerous is provided and it is indicated that negotiations over the level of and nature of contributions will be assessed on a 'site by site' basis, having regard to a financial appraisal (which may be informed by independent advice) and that such negotiations will need to take account of the economics of the development and other national, regional, and local planning objectives that may affect the economic viability of the proposal.

The applicant in this case has submitted financial information to substantiate their claim that the Council's requirements as an LPA would render a policy compliant scheme unviable. The information submitted has been sent by your officers to an independent valuer who has the skills required to assess financial information in connection with development proposals for further advice. A draft report has been received and is being considered, and a further report will be brought to Members on this issue.

APPENDIX

Policies and proposals in the approved development plan relevant to this decision:-

Newcastle-under-Lyme and Stoke-on-Trent Core Spatial Strategy (CSS) 2006-2026

Policy SP1:	Spatial Principles of Targeted Regeneration
Policy SP3:	Spatial Principles of Movement and Access
Policy ASP6:	Rural Area Spatial Policy
Policy CSP1:	Design Quality
Policy CSP3:	Sustainability and Climate Change
Policy CSP4:	Natural Assets
Policy CSP5:	Open Space/Sport/Recreation
Policy CSP6:	Affordable Housing
Policy CSP10:	Planning Obligations

Newcastle-under-Lyme Local Plan (NLP) 2011

Policy H1:	Residential Development - Sustainable Location and Protection of the Countryside		
Policy N3:	Development and Nature Conservation – Protection and Enhancement Measures		
Policy N4:	Development and Nature Conservation – Use of Local Species		
Policy N17:	Landscape Character – General Considerations		
Policy N21:	Areas of Landscape Restoration		
Policy T16:	Development – General Parking Requirements		
Policy C4:	Open Space in New Housing Areas		
Policy IM1:	Provision of Essential Supporting Infrastructure and Community Facilities		

Other Material Considerations include:

National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) (2018)

Planning Practice Guidance (PPG) (2014)

Supplementary Planning Guidance/Documents

Newcastle-under-Lyme Open Space Strategy (March 2017)

Developer contributions SPD (September 2007)

Affordable Housing SPD (2009)

Space Around Dwellings SPG (SAD) (July 2004)

Newcastle-under-Lyme and Stoke-on-Trent Urban Design Guidance SPD (2010)

Planning for Landscape Change - SPG to the former Staffordshire and Stoke-on-Trent Structure Plan

Waste Management and Recycling Planning Practice Guidance Note (2011)

Staffordshire County Council Education Planning Obligations Policy

Relevant Planning History

17/00630/FUL	Extensions and alterations	Approved
--------------	----------------------------	----------

17/00776/FUL Erection of a replacement dwelling Approved

Views of Consultees

The **Environmental Health Division** has no objections subject to conditions regarding noise and hours of construction.

The **Highway Authority** recommends refusal of the application on the grounds that the submitted development fails to demonstrate that adequate visibility can be provided at the proposed site access and that the plans within the Transport Statement do not scale at the indicated scale.

The **Waste Management Section** states that swept path analysis needs to be done to demonstrate that the layout is suitable for collection vehicles. The access road will need to be to highway standards or adopted over its entire length. Certainty is needed regarding access and egress from Stone Road. Without this a shared bin store is needed for all properties where the access road meets the adopted highway. Information about gradients is needed. The two properties at the far end of the site access behind the farmhouse look particularly problematic and would need a collection point. In such circumstances containers are often left at the collection point between collections, causing negative visual impact, road safety issues and neighbourhood disputes.

The Landscape Development Section has no objections subject to conditions regarding submission of a landscaping scheme and tree protection. A contribution of \pounds 5,579 per dwelling is sought towards off-site open space which would be used for improvements at Whitmore Village Hall which is approximately 1730m from the site.

The **Education Authority** states that the development falls within the catchments of Baldwin's Gate CE (VC) Primary School and Madeley High School and both schools are projected to be full for the foreseeable future. The development is scheduled to provide 11 dwellings which could add 2 Primary School aged pupils and 2 Secondary School aged pupils. An education contribution is sought for 2 Primary School places (2 x £11,031 = £22,062) and 2 High School places (2 x £16,622 = £33,244). This gives a total request of £55,306 for up to 11 houses.

The **Crime Prevention Design Advisor** states that the area is a generally low crime one and the broad proposals do not appear to introduce any obvious vulnerabilities. The proposals should include fencing and lockable gating close to the front of the building line along with attack resistant external doorsets and ground floor windows in line with the minimum recommended physical security standards in Secured by Design Homes 2016 document.

Chapel and Hill Choriton Parish Council support the application in principle but make the following comments:

- The proposal would increase the number of dwellings in Hill Chorlton by 50% and would represent overdevelopment. Six rather than eleven bungalows would be supported.
- An opportunity for more publically available green space as outlined in the emerging Neighbourhood Plan would be welcomed.
- The replacement farmhouse should be built on or close to the present dwelling.
- The provision of affordable housing on site rather than the making of a contribution would be supported.
- More detail is required regarding how both foul waste and surface water drainage is to be dealt with.
- When leaving the site it is difficult to have a clear line of sight of vehicles approaching from the right so visibility needs to be addressed.
- The A51 has long standing and unresolved speeding issues and there is concern regarding the safety of people accessing and leaving the location. Traffic calming should be introduced.
- The submitted speed survey is inadequate and lacks validity. It was carried out over 1.5 hours in early afternoon on a single day in early August, i.e. during the school holidays and not at peak time. It is not representative of average traffic flow. In contrast, the safety van readings do show that there is a speed problem.
- Part of the visibility splay is across private land not owned by the applicant.
- Should the application be approved, there should be a condition requiring the maintenance of hedgerows between gardens rather than fencing.

- Only single storey dwellings should be permitted.
- A number of projects were suggested for S106 funding contributions resurfacing of the path between Sandy Lane and Woodside, improvements to the hard standing for passengers at bus stops, improvements to Chapel and Hill Chorlton footpath number one by the use of compacted hardcore and traffic calming measures on the A51.
- The developers are congratulated for their innovative environmental approach.

The **Lead Local Flood Authority** raises no objection subject to a condition requiring the submission, approval and implementation of a detailed surface water drainage scheme.

Severn Trent Water has no objections subject to a condition requiring drainage plans for the disposal of foul and surface water flows.

The **Environment Agency** has assessed this application as having low environmental risk and therefore they have no comments to make.

Staffordshire County Council as the **Mineral and Waste Planning Authority** has no comments on this application.

Natural England makes no comment on the application and recommends that their Standing Advice is used to assess impacts on protected species and ancient woodland and veteran trees.

The **Housing Strategy Section** is not satisfied that the applicant had robustly justified that an off-site provision is appropriate in this particular case. There is a need for affordable housing within the Borough and this is demonstrated by the Strategic Housing Market Assessment. It could be the case that the types of housing that are being provided would not be taken up by Registered Providers and if this is the case then this would have to be evidenced. Following receipt of evidence, it is stated that an on-site contribution is required rather than an off-site contribution.

Representations

14 letters of objection have been received. Objection is made on the following grounds:

- The proposal is not sufficiently detailed to allow the key planning issues to be addressed
- Surface water and foul waste drainage have not been adequately addressed
- Highway safety concerns due to difficulties of access/egress and speed issues on the A51
- The speed limit should be reduced to 30mph and traffic calming measures installed
- Too many dwellings are proposed and a smaller development would be preferable
- Urbanising effect on a rural hamlet and a significant adverse impact on the character of the settlement and the area
- The replacement farmhouse should be built on the site of the current farmhouse as its siting is an important feature of the settlement's character
- Conditions are requested limiting the height and permitted development rights of the bungalows
- The western half of the site is agricultural land and has not been used as garden
- The site is crossed by power distribution lines
- There are a limited number of services in Baldwin's Gate and the minimum walking time to reach them is 20 minutes. All walking routes involve rural roads with no footway or lighting and a speed limit of 60mph.
- The majority of businesses at Slaters cater to the wedding and tourist trades and none provide for convenience or weekly household shopping.
- Most journeys would need to be made by car and therefore Hill Chorlton is not a sustainable location.
- The Council is now able to demonstrate a housing land supply of 5.89 years and therefore the Council's housing policies are no longer out of date.
- The Neighbourhood Plan has now reached the stage when it can be said to be emerging.
- Impact of street lighting on the night-time environment

Applicant's/Agent's submission

The application is accompanied by the following documents:

- Transport Statement
- Road Safety Audit
- Sustainable urban Drainage Strategy (SuDS) Report
- Design & Access Statement
- Landscape & Visual Impact Assessment
- Tree Survey
- Preliminary Ecological Appraisal

These documents are available for inspection at the Guildhall and on <u>https://publicaccess.newcastle-staffs.gov.uk/online-applications/plan/18/00507/OUT</u>

Background papers

Planning files referred to Planning Documents referred to

Date report prepared

15th January 2019